Accounting

		Standard #4 Measureme	nt and Analysis of Student Learn	ing and Performance						
		Use	this table to supply data for Criterion 4.2.							
Performance Indicator	A shullow be a shown be set that we are set of the state	and the land the formula of the state of the	Defin		and an an a shire and a second sector from the destruction of a second sector second sector (
1. Student Learning Results	A student learning outcome is one that measures a specific competency attainment. Examples of a direct assessment (evidence) of student learning attainment that might be used include: capstone performance, third-party examination, faculty-designed examination, professional performance, licensure examination. Add these to the description of the measurement instrument in column two: Direct - Assessing student performance by examining samples of student work Formative - An assessment conducted during the student's education. Summative - An assessment conducted at the end of the student's education. Internal - An assessment instrument that was developed within the business unit. External - An assessment instrument that was developed outside the business unit. Comparative - Compare to exists between cannot enables, between professors, between programs, between campuses, or compare to external results such as results from the U.S. Department of Education Research and Statistics, or results from a vendor providing									
	comparable data.									
Performance Measure	What is your measurement instrument or process?	Current Results	Analysis of Results Analysis of Results	Action Taken or Improvement made	Insert Graphs or Tables of Resulting Trends (3-5 data points preferred)					
Measurable goal	Do not use grades.	What are your current results?	What did you learn from the results?	What did you improve or what is your next step?						
What is your goal?	(Indicate type of instrument) direct, formative, internal,									
Our goal is achieve a 50th average percentile rank on all MFT all performace areas.	Peregrine's MFT assessment, Summative external data	Accounting majors scored between 57 and 67 percentile during this time period.	Overall, accounting majors are exceeding expectations	None needed at this time.	Accounting Majors - MFT Average Percentile Rank by AY					
					73 67 66 60 57 59 57 68 66 50 59 59 57 68 66 50 59 59 59 57 68 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 5					
Our goal is achieve a score of 50 or above on all MFT performace areas, and to continually improve our scores.	Peregrine's MFT assessment, Summative external data	Accounting majors consistently scored above the 50 percential throughout this time period.	Overall, accounting majors are exceeding expectations	None needed at this time.	Accounting Majors Performance on MFT by Topic					
Our goal is achieve a a score of 50 or above on all Accounting Subject areas, and to continually improve our scores.	Peregrine's MFT assessment, Summative external data	Accounting majors consistently scored above the 50 percential throughout this time period.	The overall trend is upward although there will a drop in 2014-19. It is to early to conclude whether this pattern will continue.	as None needed at this time.	Accounting Majors - Accounting Topic Score by AY					
					20 52 AV 12-13 (67) AV 13-14 (53) AV 14-15 (63) AV 15-16 (27) AV 16-17 (24) AV 17-18 (20) AV 18/19 (14)					

Accounting Knowledge #1 (Part 1): Demonstrate ability to organize, review, and prepare financial statements, primarily focusing on corporate and governmental/nonprofit entities. The goal for AC201: that the average score is at or above the Proficient level. For AC320, 330, and 425: that at least 90% of students are scoring at or above the Proficient level. S-point scale used: Exemplary, Superior, Proficient, Deficient, and Inadequate.	An exam problem that requires students to organize and prepare financial statements. The exam problem increases in difficulty as advance to higher-level courses. AC201 is a formative assessment.	(Proficient) for 2016-17. Highest "all students" average: 77% (Superior) 2017-18. The course goal was met. Throughout all four years the average score was at or above Proficient. The 2017-18 average scores for each modality showed a marked year-over-year	implemented adjustments to the course for Summer 2014 with immediate positive outcomes. The was to be a drop in 2019 outcomes for online students and a slight drop in 2019 for al students likely due to online faculty allowing	We achieved positive outcomes by beginning Summer 2014 we: 1 - decreased chapters from 12 to 11. 2 - developed final exam review assignment (for course points) that includes preparation of financial statements. Additional positive outcomes were achieved in 2018 when we provided a stronger reward structure for completion of the final exam review assignment. 2019 adjustments include: 1- assure that adjuncts are administering exam so directed (we discovered one that was providing unimted time). 2 - set final exam so that students are not aware of exam socre unil completed as a means of motivating full completion of exam.	AC201 Financial Statements
	An exam problem that requires students to organize and prepare financial statements. The exam problem increases in difficulty as advance to higher-level courses. AC320 is a formative assessment.	87 - 10% of all students scored proficient or above during the 4 year time period. Only 6 - 13% scored below Proficient. With the exception of 2016-17, our 90% goal was met.	Accounting majors mostly populate this course so more concerned about mastering accounting as part of their career preparation.	No action necessary. We have focused efforts on other program development initiatives, particularly moving entire program to the online environment.	AC320 Financial Statements (2014-19)
	An exam problem that requires students to organize and prepare financial statements. The exam problem increases in difficulty as advance to higher-level courses. AC330 is a formative assessment focusing on the Statement of Cash Flows.	Proficiency. Our 90% goal was met in 2015- 18 and 2018-19.	The Statement of Cash Flows requires intensive understanding of account analysis which our 2014-15 results conveyed was insufficient.	For 2015-16 we incorporated the "MMMat" case throughout AC320 & 325. The case repeatedly focuses on account analysis and continuously grows in complexity. The 2015- 16 results convey this has had a positive impact on student outcomes. However, we may need to better motivate students to complete this case going forward.	AC330 STATEEMENT OF CASH FLOWS 2015-19
	An exam problem that requires students to organize and prepare financial statements. The exam problem increases in difficulty as advance to higher-level courses. AC425 is a summative assessment focusing on Consolidated Corporations & Governmental/Nonprofit entities.	Proficient. With the exception of 2016-17, our 90% goal was met throughout this 4 year period.	The program formative assessments support continuous student development to enable positive student outcomes at the summative assessment level.	This course has not been updated and does note use an online homework system. We plan to redevelop the course next year to incorporate an online homework system to deter student cheating and enhance student learning.	AC425 FINANCIAL STATEMENTS 2015-19
	Exam questions. The exam increases in difficulty as advance to higher- level courses. The AC201 comprehensive final exam is a formative assessment.	Clearly an overall upward trend throughout the 4 year period for All Students. Lowest "all students" average: 59% (Deficient) for 2013-14. Highest "all students" average: 87% (Exemplary) 2017-18. The course goal was met. Throughout all years the average score was at or above Proficient.	There continues to be an overall upward trend following the course adjustments made in Summer 2014. In 2017-18, the average scores reached the Exemplary level with the exception of the 6-week modality, the 6-week average scores were just 1 point below the Exemplary level. With that being said, see the commentary in the Suggestions box for extenuating circumstances that likely contributed to the Exemplary results.	Beginning Summer 2014 we: 1 - decreased chapters from 12 to 11. 2 - developed a highly structured final exam review assignment as part of total course points. 3 - more clearly directed student attention towards homework completion by removing excessive case discussions in online environment. Replaced those case discussions with homework discussions. In 2017-18 the Final Exam settings were inadvertently changed to allow 3 attempts per question, as opposed to just 1. This likely contributed to stellar outcomes this year. These exam settings were corrected for 2019.	AC201 Core Accounting Events

	Exam questions. The exam increases in difficulty as advance to higher- level courses. The AC320 final exam is a formative assessment.	71 - 81% of all students scored proficient or above during the 3 year time period. We did not meet our 90% goal.	We need additional data collection and analysis. We may need to adjust the course or we may need to adjust our goal expectations. It could be that we have more students preforming at "deficient" and "madequate" in this entry-level course for the accounting major because of those opting to drop out of the accounting major at the time of the exam. By then, it is reasonable that a number of students would have decided to change their major from accounting.	There was improvement overall in 2017-18 which may be attributed to having ful-lime faculty teaching most of the online sections. However, scores dipped back down the following year when that did not happen. We will wait to collect more data and continue our efforts on other program development initiatives.	AC320 CORE ACCOUNTING EVENTS 2015-19
	level courses. The AC425 mid-term & final exams are used for summative assessment.	88 - 97% of all students scored proficient or above during the 3 year time period with the prior 2 years being modestly below the 90% goal.	The past 3 years' data has been fairly consistent across platforms. It tends to reinforce the theory that low-performing AC320 students likely dropped out of the major.	This course has not been updated and does note use an online homework system. We plan to redevelop the course next year to incorporate an online homework system to deter student cheating and enhance student learning.	AC425 CORE ACCOUNTING EVENTS 2015-19
Accounting Knowledge #1 a: Be aware of differences in accounting principles adopted in the United Sates with those in the wider global environment. Professional Accounting Skill #1: demonstrate effective written communication skills. For AC330: that at least 90% of students are scoring at or above the Proficient level S-point scale used: Exemplary, Superior, Proficient, Deficient, and Inadequate.	accounting standards for a direct assessment.	76% - 93% of all students scored at or above Proficient levels during this 3 year time period. We only met out 90% goal in 2015-16 and are experiencing a downward trend.	students did not complete this assignment. Consequently for students that complete this assignment, we are experiencing very positive outcomes.	We vill consider other ways of motivating all students to complete this activity.	AC330 INTERNATIONAL ACCOUNTING STANDARDS 2015-19
Accounting Knowledge #2: Evaluate financial strengts/weaknesses of an organization using ratio and trend analysis. The goal for AC202: that the average score is at or above the Proficient level. 5-point scale used: Exemplary, Superior, Proficient, Deficient, and Inadequate.	Exam questions on the AC202 comprehensive final exam used as a formative assessment.	have met our goal of the average score being above Proficiency.	Overall, students are mastering and able to apply these concepts. The 2018-19 results seem to be an anomaly to continuous ongoing improvement in prior years.		AC202 Financial Statement Analysis
Accounting Knowledge #2: Evaluate financial strengths & weaknesses of an organization using ratio and trend analysis. Professional Accounting Skill #1: Demonstrate effective written communication skills. Professional Accounting Skills #2: Construct Exel syneadsheets for decision-making. Professional Accounting Skills to solve diverse and unstructured problems. Professional Accounting Skills & Effectively collaborate in a team setting. The goal for AC326: that at least 90% of shudents are sooring at or above the Profeient level. S-point scale used: Exemplary, Superior,	Financial Statement Analysis Project using real-world company data serves as a direct assessment.	94% - 98% of all students scored at or above Proficient level. We have consistently met our 90% goal.	Overall, students are mastering and able to apply these concepts likely due to integrative coverage of ratios and MxMax case in AC320. The MxMax case repeatedly focuses on account analysis and continuously grows in complexity.	None needed at this time.	AC325 FINANCIAL STATEMENT ANALYSIS 2015- 19 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10





Accounting Knowledge #4: Tax compliance and strategy for individual and business entities. (Part 1): Explain fundamental tax concepts for	AC309 Comprehensive Final Exam is used for summative assessment.	87 - 97% of students scored at or above Proficient with 33 - 73% Exemplary. We are now meeting our 90% goal.	This revised course was launched Spring 2017 so there is limited data collected.	The course was redeveloped for the Spring 1, 2019 term to incorporate new tax law.	AC309 INDIVIDUAL INCOME TAX KNOWLEDGE 2017-19
individuals. For AC309: that at least 90% of students are scoring at or above the					100%
Proficient level. 5-point					80%
scale used: Exemplary, Superior, Proficient,					60%
Deficient, and Inadequate.					40%
					20%
					0%
					and a set of the set o
					" has have have
					Exemplary, Superior, Proficient Deficient, Inadequate
Accounting Knowledge #4: Tax compliance and strategy for individual and business entities.	AC312 Comprehensive Final Exam is used for summative assessment.	97% of all students scored at or above Proficient. We met our 90% goal this year.	We only began collecting data on this course last year. Inadequate data was collected 2018	This course was redeveloped for the Fall 2,	AC312 Explain fundamental tax concepts for C-
(Part 2): Explain fundamental tax conceptsfor C-		Proncient. We met our 90% goal this year.	19 to add to our analysis.	2019 term to incorporate new tax law.	corporations and flow-through entities 2017-18
corporations & flow-through entities.					100%
For AC312: that at least 90% of students are scoring at or above the Proficient level.					
5-point scale used: Exemplary, Superior,					80%
Proficient, Deficient, and Inadequate.					60%
					40%
					1070
					20%
					0%
					Online 8-Week 16-week All Students
					Exemplary, Superior, Proficient Deficient, Inadequate
Accounting Knowledge #4: Tax compliance	AC309: Completion of Individual Income Tax Return using unstructured	73 -93% of students scored at or above	This revised course was launched Spring 2017	The course was redeveloped for the Spring 1,	
and strategy for individual and business entities.	information.	Proficient with 73 - 91% at Exemplary during	so there is limited data collected.	2019 term to incorporate new tax law.	AC309 PREPARE INDIVIDUAL TAX RETURNS
Accounting Professional Skill #2: Use of		this time.			2017-19
technology adopted by professional accountants. Professional Skill #4: Critical thinking and					120%
problem-solving skills to solve diverse and					100%
unstructured problems. For AC309 & AC312: that at least 90% of					80%
students are scoring at or above the Proficient					60% 40%
level.					20%
5-point scale used: Exemplary, Superior, Proficient, Deficient, and Inadequate.					0% 1 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					I share the set of the
					All is a bar
					Exemplary, Superior, Proficient
	AC312: Completion of Business Income Tax Return using unstructured information.	89% of students scored at or above Proficient. We are very close to meeting 90% goal.	We only began collecting data on this course last year. Inadequate data was collected 2018	This course was redeveloped for the Fall 2, 2019 term to incorporate new tax law	AC312 Prepare business tax return
		the are foly close to meeting contigent.	19 to add to our analysis.		100%
					100%
					80%
					60%
					00%
					40%
					20%
					0% Online 8-Week 16-week All Students
					Exemplary, Superior, Proficient Deficient, Inadequate
Accounting Knowledge #5: Accounting and	Accounting Simulation Case using accounting software in the AC350	Note: During the Fall 2015 semester, we atte	mpted to adopt a software program that became	e too problematic so abandoned it. We have	
business processes, with related internal controls. Part 1: Analyze and process accounting	Accounting information systems course.	been unable to arrive at a solution. Beginning including providing opportunity to earn a badge	next year we will abandon this CLO and focus st in Excel.	uuteriit errorts on developing Excel skills	
transactions through accounting system.		C,			





	AC320 Student Self-Assessment Checklist and Course goal-setting is a			We currently have not collected these
		students complete the two assignments.	The checklist in essence, informs students	
rofessional. The goal is for	Development Plan is anotherdirect assessment.		what they should be doing to perform well in	
tudents in AC320 to master how to best study			the course. Students research various career	
ccounting and also develop a professional			options prior to writing their Professional	
levelopment plan that is revisited in subsequent			Development Plan. Many students discover	
pper-level accounting courses.			there are more options in accounting than	
			becoming a CPA.	

Construction Management

			sis of Student Learning and Performance					
Performance Indicator		Use this table to sup	ply data for Criterion 4.2. Definition					
1. Student Learning Results	Definition A student learning outcome is one that measures a specific competency attainment. Examples of a direct assessment (evidence) of student learning attainment that might be used include: capstone performance, third-party examination, faculty-designed examination, professional performance, licens examination). Add these to the description of the measurement instrument in column two: Direct - Assessing student performance by examining samples of student work Formative - An assessment conducted during the student's education. Internal - An assessment instrument that was developed within the business unit. External - An assessment instrument that was developed outside the business unit. Comparative - Compare results between classes, between professors, between programs, between campuses, or compare to external results such as results from the U.S. Department of Education Research and Statistics, or results from a vendor providing com							
	data.		Analysis of Results					
Performance Measure	What is your measurement instrument or process?	Current Results	Analysis of Results	Action Taken or Improvement made	Insert Graphs or Tables of Resulting Trends points preferred)	(3-5 data		
Measurable goal	Do not use grades.	What are your current results?	What did you learn from the results?	What did you improve or what is your next step?				
What is your goal?	(Indicate type of instrument) direct, formative, internal,							
 Employ basic construction management functions. 	Construct and present a Project Plan. Type of instrument: Direct.	Final grades averaged on Project Plan was 92.4%.	Students are learning during the respective courses. Our instructors are doing a very good job of teaching.	Since the scores were so good, we will continue to do what we have been doing. There is is not apparent need that requires changing from our current practivce.				
					NO TREND DATA AVAILABLE			
 Identify materials required to achieve the desired construction project quality. 	Final Exam. Type of instrument: Direct.	Final grades averages 92%.	Students are learning during the respective courses. Our instructors are doing a very good job of teaching.	Since the scores were so good, we will continue to do what we have been doing. There is is not apparent need that requires changing from our current practivce.				
					NO TREND DATA AVAILABLE			
 Discover ethical, socially responsible, and global issues related to construction management. 	Final Exam. Type of instrument: Direct.	Final grades averages 92%.	Students are learning during the respective courses. Our instructors are doing a very good job of teaching.	Since the scores were so good, we will continue to do what we have been doing. There is is not apparent need that requires changing from our current practivce.				
4. Apply legal considerations in construction work.	Final Exam. Type of instrument: Direct.	Final grades averages 88%.	Students are learning during the respective courses. Our instructors are doing a very good job of teaching.	Since the scores were so good, we will continue to do what we have been doing. There is is not apparent need that requires changing from our current	NO TREND DATA AVAILABLE			
				practivce.	NO TREND DATA AVAILABLE			
 Demonstrate effective written, oral, and presentation communication skills in a construction environment. 	Construct and present a Project Plan. Type of instrument: Direct.	Final grades averaged on Project Plan was 92.4%.	Students are learning during the respective courses. Our instructors are doing a very good job of teaching.	Since the scores were so good, we will continue to do what we have been doing. There is is not apparent need that requires changing from our current practivce.				
					NO TREND DATA AVAILABLE			
 Demonstrate an understanding of effective team building, techniques of control, data requirements, and time management. 	Final Exam. Type of instrument: Direct.	Final grades averages 88%.	Students are learning during the respective courses. Our instructors are doing a very good job of teaching.	Since the scores were so good, we will continue to do what we have been doing. There is is not apparent need that requires changing from our current practivce.				
					NO TREND DATA AVAILABLE			
 Examine the orientation and enforcement of the construction trades sub-parts of the Occupational Safety and Health Act. 	Final Exam. Type of instrument: Direct.	Final grades averages 88%.	Students are learning during the respective courses. Our instructors are doing a very good job of teaching.	Since the scores were so good, we will continue to do what we have been doing. There is is not apparent need that requires changing from our current practivce.				
					NO TREND DATA AVAILABLE			

		Junuara	#4 Indirect Ass	essments Measurer	ment			
Performance Indicator				Definition				
	Indirect assessments measure student achier			ples of a indirect assessmen	nt may include:			
			Analysis of Results					
erformance Measure	What is your measurement instrument or process?	Current Results	Analysis of Results	Action Taken or Improvement made	Insert Graphs or Tables of Resulting Trends (3-5 data points preferred)			
Neasurable goal		What are your current results?	What did you learn from the results?	What did you improve or what is your next step?				
Vhat is your goal?								
nalysis on student perceptions and student tification. Goal is to understand the underlying	IDEA Survey Results at the Program Level	Results were scores of "4.9", almost the maximum.	Instructors are doing an excellent job and the	Since the scores were the practically perfect, we will	IDEA Summative Questions UGCO UG SoB SoB All IDEA All			
fluences on student perceptions and student		annose the maximum.	courses are excellent.	continue to do what we have	Excellent Teacher 5 4.5 4.48 4.3			
atifisaction.				been doing.	Excellent Course 4.8 4.4 4.33 4.2			
					Average of B and C 4.9 4.45 4.41 4.25			
					Number of Courses 3 268 359			
					IDEA Summative Questions AY1718 AY1819			
					Excellent Teacher 5 5			
					Excellent Course 5 4.8 Average of B and C 5 4.9			
					Average of B and C 5 4.9 Number of Courses 1 3			
djunct Faculty Satification Survey ercentage is based on the number of <i>adjunct</i> <i>culty members</i> providing survey feedback in ne program. Program Specific AY1819/AY1719 Trend Data	Adjunct Faculty Satification Survey Percentage is based on the number of <i>adjunct faculty</i> <i>members</i> providing survey feedback in the program. *Program/School of Business AY1819 Comparison	100% of the adjunct faculty in the Construction Management were Highly Satisfied.	All of the adjuncts are highly satisfied.	Since the scores were the best Si possible, we will continue to do what we have been doing.	Considering all aspects of being an adjunct faculty member at Park University, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with your job? 100.00% 90.00%			
					0.00% Highly satisfied Satisfied Neither satisfied nor Dissatisfied			
					dissatisfied			

Finance

		Standard #4 Measur	ement and Analysis of Student I		
Performance Indicator			Use this table to supply data for Criteric	on 4.2. Definition	
1. Student Learning Results	A student learning outcome is one that measures a specific com examination). Add these to the description of the measuremen Direct - Assessing student performance by examining samples of Formative – An assessment conducted during the student's edu Summative – An assessment conducted at the end of the studen Internal – An assessment instrument that was developed within External – An assessment instrument that was developed outsic Comparative – Compare results between classes, between onlin data.	nt instrument in column two: of student work ication. nt's education. n the business unit. de the business unit.	ssessment (evidence) of student learning a	ittainment that might be used include: capst	
			Analysis of Results		
Performance Measure	What is your measurement instrument or process?	Current Results	Analysis of Results	Action Taken or Improvement made	Insert Graphs or Tables of Resulting Trend
Measurable goal	Do not use grades.	What are your current results?	What did you learn from the results?	What did you improve or what is your next step?	
What is your goal?	(Indicate type of instrument) direct, formative, internal,				
Our goal is achieve a 50th average percentile rank on all MFT all performace areas.	Peregrine's MFT assessment, Summative external data	The MFT result shows that 28 Finance Majors with 66% average percentile in AY17- 18. We have achieved the program goal of a 50% average percentile rank in all MFT performance.	same level this year compared to last and	We have two degree programs in Finance area, incluing Managerial Finance track and Financial Planning Track. The Finance Planning Track has received the approval from the CFP Board Registration in May 2018. We anticipate this Finance Planning track will attrack more students in the coming terms.	Finance Majors - MFT Average Per 90 80 70 60 57 54 54 60 57 54 54 50 40 40 40 40 57 54 54 54 54 54 54 54 54 54 54
MFT performace areas, and to continually improve our scores.	Peregrine's MFT assessment, Summative external data	The Finance majors performance on MFT by topic achieved the goal of 50% or above all MFT performance areas.	Environment of Business are the hightest of 70% among all categories. However, the lowest perfomance is in the Quantitative Research Techniques which is around 50%.	high IDEA survey areas can conntinue improving the results.	Finance Majors Performan
Our goal is achieve a a score of 50 or above on all Business Finance areas, and to continually improve our scores.	Peregrine's MFT assessment, Summative external data	Finance majors show that business finance topic score increased from 51% to 63% from the previous year.	Finance majors show that business finance topic score was increasing from AY12-13 to AY17-18. It improved by 23.5% in AY18-19 from the previous year.	business finance area for Finance majors for	Finance Majors - Business Finance Finance Majors - Business - Business Finance Finance Majors - Business - Busines

Standard #4 Measurement and Analysis of Student Learning and Performance



7

		Standard	#4 Indirect Ass	essments Measure	ement
Performance Indicator	Indirect assessments measure student achie Student Opinion of Teaching Survey Results Surveys of Instructors Teaching Courses in th	(IDEA) at the Program Level		Definition ples of a indirect assessme	ent may include:
			Analysis of Results		
Performance Measure	What is your measurement instrument or process?	Current Results	Analysis of Results	Action Taken or Improvement made	Insert Graphs or Tables of Resulting Trends (3-5 data points preferred)
Measurable goal		What are your current results?	-	What did you improve or what is your next step?	
What is your goal?					
Analysis on student perceptions and student satifcation. Goal is to understand the underlying influences on student perceptions and student satifisaction.	IDEA Survey Results at the Program Level	The School of Buseness overall summative results are par with IDEA Survey Results in terms of excellent teachers and courses. However, the undergraduate School of Business has shown both scores are higher tham the IDEA results.	undergraduate Finance program shows a better performance that the IDEA Survey Results. The	Finance Program review/self- study and assessment annually would improve and enhance the performance.	IDEA Summative QuestionsUGFIUG SoBSoB AllIDEA AllExcellent Teacher4.534.54.484.3Excellent Course4.394.44.334.2Average of B and C4.464.454.414.25Number of Courses31268359IDEA Summative QuestionsAY1718AY1819Excellent Teacher4.644.53Excellent Course4.444.39Average of B and C4.544.46Number of Courses2931
Adjunct Faculty Satification Survey Percentage is based on the number of <i>adjunct</i> <i>faculty members</i> providing survey feedback in the program. *Program Specific AY1819/AY1719 Trend Data	Adjunct Faculty Satification Survey Percentage is based on the number of <i>adjunct faculty</i> <i>members</i> providing survey feedback in the program. *Program/School of Business AY1819 Comparison	In Finance program, 66.67% of highly satisfied and 33.33% of satisfied adjunct faculty are received from the survey. They are higher for higly satisfied and lower for satisfied than overall School of Business results of 54.87% and 36.29%. non (0%) of adjunct faculty received the ranking of neither satisfied nor dissatisfied with their jobs from the survey.	Finance adjunct instructors received highly satisfied and satisfied of their jobs.	Teacher trainings through FCI or any Finance related worshops would continue to improve teaching satisfaction results.	Considering all aspects of being an adjunct faculty member at Park University, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with your job?

Analysis on adjunct faculty satisfaction. Goal is to understand the level of faculty satisfaction at the program level.	Adjunct Faculty Satification Survey Percentage is based on the number of <i>adjunct faculty</i> <i>members</i> providing survey feedback in the program. *Program Specific AY1819/AY1719 Trend Data	In Finance program, 55.56% of highly satisfied and 33.33% of satisfied and 11.11% of neither in AY 18-19 adjunct faculty are received from the survey compared to 42.86%. 28.57%, and 28.57% sequentially. They are higher for higly satisfied and satisfied and lower for niether than overall compared to AY 17-18		Teacher trainings any Finance relat would continue t teaching satisfact
Analysis on adjunct faculty survey data specific to course structure alignment with Core Learning Outcomes (CLO's). Goal is to understand if courses within the program are appropriately structured to meet the Core Learning Outcomes (CLO's).	Adjunct Faculty Course Feedback Survey Percentage is based on the number of <i>courses</i> with survey feedback in the program. *Program/School of Business AY1819 Comparison			
Analysis on adjunct faculty survey data specific to course structure alignment with Core Learning Outcomes (CLO's). Goal is to understand if courses within the program are appropriately structured to meet the Core Learning Outcomes (CLO's).	Adjunct Faculty Course Feedback Survey Percentage is based on the number of <i>courses</i> with survey feedback in the program. *Program Specific AY1819/AY1719 Trend Data	Finance program has received 40% of strongly agree and 20% of agree levels in terms of courses were appropriately structured to meet the CLOs. However, 20% of disagree and 20% strongly disagree the courses wer appropriately structured. They are lower than the School of Business in general of 60% and 30%, respectively.	20% of students are strongly disagreed or disagreed that the structures of Economics courses have met the CLOs requirements.	The survey of the breaksowns by st key Finance cour term are valuabl on making impro program.



Financial Planning

		Standard #4 Measure	ement and Analysis of Student L	earning and Performance					
			Use this table to supply data for Criterio						
Performance Indicator 1. Student Learning Results	Definition Definition A student learning outcome is one that measures a specific competency attainment. Examples of a direct assessment (evidence) of student learning attainment that might be used include: capstone performance, third-party examination, faculty-designed examination, professional performance, licensure examination). Add these to the description of the measurement instrument in column two: Direct - Assessing student performance by examining the student's education. Summative - An assessment conducted at the end of the student's education. Internal - An assessment instrument that was developed within the business unit. External - An assessment instrument instrument of Education Research and Statistics, or results from a vendor providing comparar data.								
	dette.		Analysis of Results						
Performance Measure	What is your measurement instrument or process?	Current Results	Analysis of Results	Action Taken or Improvement made	Insert Graphs or Tables of Resulting Trends (3-5 data points preferred)				
Measurable goal	Do not use grades.	What are your current results?	What did you learn from the results?	What did you improve or what is your next step?					
What is your goal? Our goal is achieve a 50th average percentile rank on all MFT all performace areas.	(Indicate type of instrument) direct, formative, internal, Peregrine's MFT assessment. Summative external data	Specific data on the PFP program has not been provided in the accompanying graph. We will need data for the PFP program to provide assessment. This is probably a function of the newness of the program.			Finance Majors - MFT Average Percentile Rank by AY				
MFT performace areas, and to continually improve our scores.	Peregrine's MFT assessment, Summative external data	Specific data on the PFP program has not been provided in the accompanying graph. We will need data for the PFP program to provide assessment. This is probably a function of the newness of the program.			Finance Majors Performance on MFT by Topic				
Our goal is achieve a a score of 50 or above on all Business Finance areas, and to continually improve our scores.	Peregrine's MFT assessment, Summative external data	Trend line is positive with data provided in graph. The data probably does not include specific PFP program data. CFP exam pass rate percentage is the primary standard that PFP programs are assessed on. Our goal will to have a CFP exam pass rate higher than peer universities in Kansas and Missouri.			Finance Majors - Business Finance Topic Score by AY				

		Standard #	#4 Indirect Ass	essments Measure	ment				
Performance Indicator	Definition Indirect assessments measure student achievement of program by looking at attitudes. Examples of a indirect assessment may include: Student Opinion of Teaching Survey Results (IDEA) at the Program Level Surveys of Instructors Teaching Courses in the Program								
Performance Measure Measurable goal	What is your measurement instrument or process?	Current Results	Analysis of Results Analysis of Results What did you learn from the results?	Action Taken or Improvement made What did you improve or what is your next step?	Insert Graphs or Tables of Resulting Trends (3-5 data points preferred)				
Vhat is your goal?	IDEA Survey Results at the Program Level	From the number of			IDEA Summative Questions UGFI UG SoB SoB All IDEA All				
atifcation. Goal is to understand the underlying fluences on student perceptions and student atifisaction.		courses, this data probably reflects all finance courses - not specifically PFP courses. When we have data on courses that are specific to the PFP program an analysis can be provided.			Image: Second				
Adjunct Faculty Satification Survey Percentage is based on the number of <i>adjunct</i> <i>faculty members</i> providing survey feedback in the program. Program Specific AY1819/AY1719 Trend Data	Adjunct Faculty Satification Survey Percentage is based on the number of <i>adjunct faculty</i> <i>members</i> providing survey feedback in the program. *Program/School of Business AY1819 Comparison	Adjunct Faculty data appears to be from all finance courses not specifically PFP courses. PFP PC has been in close contact with core PFP faculty throughout the last year, and we are developing a strong core faculty group. All CDEVs are either CFPs, are preparing to take the CFP exam, or hold a JD or MS in PFP. Park's PFP program is the only finanical planning degree program based in Kansas City and the only one at a university with a strong military presence.	In discussion with Adjunct Faculty they would appreciate more communication from SOB leadership, and more job development for their positions. Development of CDEVs and PCs is an area of improvement.	Discuss faculty development with SOB administraiton with goal of providing CDEVs and PCs with a job description and training for their increased responsibilities.	Considering all aspects of being an adjunct faculty member at Park University, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with your job?				



Healthcare Management

		Standard #4 Measu	rement and Analysis of Student	Learning and Performance	
			Use this table to supply data for Criteri		
Performance Indicator				Definition	
1. Student Learning Results	examination). Add these to the description of the measuremen Direct - Assessing student performance by examining samples o formative – An assessment conducted airing the student's edu Summative – An assessment conducted at the end of the stude Internal – An assessment instrument that was developed within External – An assessment instrument that was developed outsid	t instrument in column two: student work cation. t's education. the business unit. e the business unit.			one performance, third-party examination, faculty-designed examination, professional performance, licensure ults from the U.S. Department of Education Research and Statistics, or results from a vendor providing comparable
			Analysis of Results		
Performance Measure	What is your measurement instrument or process?	Current Results	Analysis of Results	Action Taken or Improvement made	Insert Graphs or Tables of Resulting Trends (3-5 data points preferred)
Measurable goal	Do not use grades.	What are your current results?	What did you learn from the results?	What did you improve or what is your next step?	
What is your goal?	(Indicate type of instrument) direct, formative, internal,				
Our goal is achieve a 60th average percentile rank on all Peregrine Major Filled Test (MFT) performace areas.	Peregrine's MFT assessment, Summative external data	We didn't meet the goal of achieving a 60th average percentile on all NFT performance areas. We see a decrease by 11.7% from the previous year AY17- 18 to AY18-19. This is the lowest score since AY13-14.	These results indicate the BSM program with concentration in Health Care graduates' results on the Peregrine MFT test that they take in their capstone course, which is the last course in the program, and it is a general managemen course, not one of HC courses. This means that the MFT results for AY18-19 capture the data for the graduates who took the HC courses in the old format, with the old course, before the course redevelopment. All completely redevelopmed HC courses were launched in Spring 1 term of 2019.	percentile. Also, BSM-HC students take a	HC Management Majors - MFT Average Percentile Rank by AY
Our goal is achieve a score of 60 or above on al MFT performace areas, and to continually improve our scores.	l Peregrine's MFT assessment, Summative external data	The MFT results for the accounting area looks good; ethics - dropped; business finance - looks good; economics area - seems to be a challenge; marketing - looks good; quantitative research techniques area looks good; information management systems and business leadership - stand out; legal environment looks pretty consistent with the last year.	MFT scores are pretty consistent within most of the years. The areas of economics need more attention - all three economics scores are lower than all other areas. Businee finance and marketing areas are now higher than they've ever been since AY13-14.	All HC have been just redeveloped. There was an effort to ensure finance, economics, marketing, and quantitative reasoning concepts are covered in multiple courses where the inclusion of this content makes sense. Will look at the Peregrine data to see what exactly in Economics the students are missing. Let the Program Coordinator, who oversees the Economics, know what the results are, so that the Economics courses can be improved accordingly.	Healthcare Majors Performance on MFT by Topic Healthcare Majors Perfore

			Standard #4 Indirect Assessments		
Performance Indicator				Definition	
	Indirect assessments measure student achiev Student Opinion of Teaching Survey Results (I Surveys of Instructors Teaching Courses in the	IDEA) at the Program Level	g at attitudes. Examples of a indirect assessment m	y include:	
	ļ		Analysis of Results		
erformance Measure	What is your measurement instrument or process?	Current Results	Analysis of Results	Action Taken or Improvement made	Insert Graphs or Tables of Resulting Trends (3-5 data points preferred)
Neasurable goal		What are your current results?	What did you learn from the results?	What did you improve or what is your next step?	
Vhat is your goal?					
nalysis on student perceptions and student atifcation. Goal is to understand the underlying filuences on student perceptions and student atifisaction.	IDEA Survey Results at the Program Level	α = .05, show p = .019. The IDEA scores of the undergraduate Health Care courses are statistically	Beginning Spring 1 2019 term, all eight HC courses were launched after complete redevelopment. When we change the standardised course structure format, increasing the rigor, the IDEA scores are expected to drop. Now, the rigor of the HC courses is higher; there are practical cases in discussions and application assignments; there is a sinchronous component added with weekly team meetings recordings they the students had never done before. We have seen the IDEA results drop before when we implemented this change in the MHA program in Summer 2016. Once the students got used to the new course structure and got comfortable with it and began to like it; then the IDEA results went up accordingly.	The results are normal and expected. Just keep monitoring the results. Implement strategies to increase the student response rate to the IDEA assessment survey by offering 5 bonus points to each student in class if 100% of the class complete the IDEA survey.	IDEA Summative Questions UGHC UG SoB SoB All IDEA All Excellent Teacher 3.82 4.52 4.50 4.3 Excellent Course 3.79 4.39 4.40 4.2 Average of B and C 3.805 4.45 4.45 4.25 Number of Courses 17 574 736 IDEA Summative Questions AY1718 AY1819 Excellent Teacher 4.34 3.82 Excellent Course 4.36 3.79 Average of B and C 4.35 3.805 Number of Courses 10 17
kdjunct Faculty Satification Survey ercentage is based on the number of <i>adjunct</i> <i>aculty members</i> providing survey feedback in he program. Program Specific AY1819/AY1719 Trend Data	Adjunct Faculty Satification Survey Percentage is based on the number of <i>adjunct faculty</i> <i>members</i> providing survey feedback in the program. *Program/School of Business AY1819 Comparison	The sample includes only 1 adjunct faculty member response. That faculty member is satisfied with his or her job.	Having the results from only one survey participant is not overly useful.	Implement strategies to encourage the adjunct faculty members to participate in the survey in order to increase the reponse rate.	Considering all aspects of being an adjunct faculty member at Park University, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with your job?
nalysis on adjunct faculty satisfaction. Goal is o understand the level of faculty satisfaction at he program level.	Adjunct Faculty Satification Survey Percentage is based on the number of adjunct faculty <i>members</i> providing survey feedback in the program. *Program Specific AV1819/AV1719 Trend Data	The sample includes only 1 adjunct faculty member response. That faculty member is satisfied with his or her job.	Having the results from only one survey participant is not overly useful.	Implement strategies to encourage the adjunct faculty members to participate in the survey in order to increase the reponse rate.	Considering all aspects of being an adjunct faculty member at Park University, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with your job?

Analysis on adjunct faculty survey data specific to course structure alignment with Core Learning Outcomes (CLO's). Goal is to understand if courses within the program are appropriately structured to meet the Core Learning Outcomes (CLO's).	reponded to the survey	Only 1 survey participant, who is strongly agree. Good for the newly implemented course structure.	We will continue monitoring. Implement strategies to encourage the adjunct faculty members to participate in the survey in order to increase the reponse rate.	The course was appropriately structured to meet the Core Learning Outcomes (CLO's).
Analysis on adjunct faculty survey data specific to course structure alignment with Core Learning Outcomes (CLOS). Goal is to understand if courses within the program are appropriately structured to meet the Core Learning Outcomes (CLOS).	The faculty member who reponded to the survey strongly agree that the course was appropriately structured to meet the CLOS.	Only 1 survey participant, who is strongly agree. Good for the newly implemented course structure.	We will continue monitoring. Implement strategies to encourage the adjunct faculty members to participate in the survey in order to increase the reponse rate.	The course was appropriately structured to meet the Core Learning Outcomes (CLO's).

Human Resources

		Standard #4 Mea	asurement and Analysis of Stude						
D. f.			Use this table to supply data for Crit						
Performance Indicator 1. Student Learning Results	Definition A student learning outcome is one that measures a specific competency attainment. Examples of a direct assessment (evidence) of student learning attainment that might be used include: capstone performance, third-party examination, faculty-designed examination, professional performance, licensure examination) Add these to the description of the measurement instrument in column two: Direct - Assessing student performance by examining samples of student work Formative - An assessment conducted during the student's education. Summative - An assessment conducted at the end of the student's education. Internal - An assessment instrument that was developed outbide the business unit. External - An assessment instrument that was developed outbide the sum set unit. External - An assessment instrument that was developed outbide the business unit. Comparative - Compare results between classes, between online and on ground classes, Between professors, between programs, between campuses, or compare to external results such as results from the U.S. Department of Education Research and Statistics, or results from a vendor providing comparable data.								
Performance Measure	What is your measurement instrument or process?	Current Results	Analysis of Results Analysis of Results	Action Taken or Improvement made	insert Graphs or Tables of Resulting Trends (3-5 data points preferred)				
Measurable goal	Do not use grades.	What are your current results?	What did you learn from the results?	What did you improve or what is your					
What is your goal?	(Indicate type of instrument) direct, formative, internal,			next step?					
Our goal is achieve a 50th average percentile rank on all MFT all performace areas.	Peregrine's MFT assessment, Summative external data	Our most current result is at the 48th percentile.	Our students have consistently scored at or near the 50th percentile in all years examined.	The next step is to examine the areas with the weakest performance and to push for improvement in those areas and project what score seems to be achievable with improvement in those weak areas. Perhaps the 65th percentile. Quantitative analysis is necessary. To do this, it will be necessary to have access to the Peregrine data, or at least a summary of the data.	Human Resources Majors - MFT Average Percentile Rank by AY				
		Almost all areas appear to have taken a	The only areas_not_below the 60th percentile are	Access is needed to the Peregrine material so that	00 22 51 40 00 41 51 40 10 AV 13-14 (176) AV 15-15 (143) AV 15-15 (139) AV 15-17 (101) AV 17-18 (64) AV 132/19 (62)				
MFT performace areas, and to continually improve our scores.	Peregrine's MFT assessment, Summative external data	drop in performance for the most current year.		focus on the subject areas can be improved. Coordination with Dr. Finley will be done.	Human Resources Majors Performance on MFT by Topic				
Our goal is achieve a a score of 50 or above on Human Resource Management areas, and to continually improve our scores.	all Peregrine's MFT assessment, Summative external data	The HRM majors topic score has increased from last years' 60 to this years' 63.	Hith topic scores had peaked in the mid-static, they declined in the latt three academic varues. It is too soo to see if this year's result is a reversal of that trend.	Coordination with Or. Finity so that detailed on examination of the topic areas can be conducted needs to be undertaken. Then focus on the weak areas can be made. Most like, tem analysis and possibly factor analysis should yield promising results.	Human Resources Majors - Human Resource Management Topic Score by AY				

Pre-test, and one for a post-test. These pre-test and A decline was seen in HR422. There are integrated in dail and the within-course integrated in daily HRM courses, beginning in Fail of Dispertent Stranger and the methance of the overall trend is increasing, steady gains are in HR422. There are integrated in daily the overall trend is increasing, steady gains are in HR422. There are integrated in daily the overall trend is increasing, steady gains are in HR422. There are integrated in the within-course in HR422. There are integrated in daily the overall trend is increasing, steady gains are in HR422. There are integrated in the within-course in HR422. There are integrated in the within-course in the overall trend is increasing, steady gains are in the overall trend is increasing, steady gains are in the overall trend is increasing, steady gains are in the overall trend is increasing. Pre-Test Post-Test Gain/(Decrease) P-value HR353 HR355 1.6% 1.000 HR353 HR357 5.6% 1.000 HR353 HR421 5.6% 1.000 HR353 HR35 HR35
ng 156 pre-lest groups, and 138 post-test groups. seen in HR434, with the largest gain displayed in HR491. While the overall trend is increasing, steady gains are hoped for, without drops.
drops.
HR353 HR421 5.6k 1.000
HR353 HR422 (6.4%) 1.000
HR353 HR434 3.0% 1.000
HR353 HR491 9.8% 0.082
HR353 HR310 3.2% 1.000

28

		Standard	#4 Indirect Ass	sessments Measure	ement					
Performance Indicator	Definition Indirect assessments measure student achievement of program by looking at attitudes. Examples of a indirect assessment may include: Student Opinion of Teaching Survey Results (IDEA) at the Program Level Surveys of Instructors Teaching Courses in the Program									
			Analysis of Results							
Performance Measure	What is your measurement instrument or process?	Current Results	Analysis of Results	Action Taken or Improvement made	Insert Graphs or Tables of Resulting Trends (3-5 data points preferred)					
Measurable goal		What are your current results?	What did you learn from the results?	What did you improve or what is your next step?						
What is your goal?										
Analysis on student perceptions and student satification. Goal is to understand the underlying influences on student perceptions and student satifisaction.	IDEA Survey Results at the Program Level	Undergraduate HR instructors compared to undergraduate School of Business ratings are equal in terms of Excellent teacher ratings, 2% higher in terms of Excellent course ratings. Year over year, their scores only differ in the second decimal place. I doubt that any of these are significant differences.		determinants of "Excellent" ratings.	IDEA Summative Questions UGHR UG SoB SoB All IDEA All Excellent Teacher 4.53 4.52 4.50 4.3 Excellent Course 4.47 4.39 4.40 4.2 Average 6B and C 4.5 4.45 4.25 Number of Courses 67 574 736 IDEA Summative Questions AY1718 AY1819 Excellent Teacher 4.53 4.53 Excellent Teacher 4.47 A.47 Average of B and C 4.45 4.53 Mumber of Courses 4.77 67					
Adjunct Faculty Satification Survey Percentage is based on the number of adjunct faculty members providing survey feedback in the program. *Program Specific AY1819/AY1719 Trend Data	Adjunct Faculty Satification Survey Percentage is based on the number of <i>adjunct faculty</i> <i>members</i> providing survey feedback in the program. *Program/School of Business AY1819 Comparison	None of the HRM faculty fall into the neutral or dissatisfied range. I suspect that the level of dissatisfaction may be underreported.	This is a good place to start. Further research is warranted.	The next step is to go through the data to determine what differentiates satisfied from dissatisfied faculty.	Considering all aspects of being an adjunct faculty member at Park University, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with your job?					



International Business

			t and Analysis of Student Learni	ing and Performance							
		Use th	is table to supply data for Criterion 4.2.								
Performance Indicator	Definition A student learning outcome is one that measures a specific competency attainment. <i>Examples of a direct assessment (evidence) of student learning attainment that might be used include: capstone performance, third-party examination, faculty-designed examination, professional performance, licensure examination). Add these to the description of the measurement instrument in column two: Direct - Assessing student performance by examining the student's education. Summative - An assessment conducted at the end of the student's education. Internal - An assessment instrument intat was developed within the business unit. External - An assessment instrument intat was developed outside the business unit. Comparative - Compare results between classes, between professors, between programs, between campuses, or compare to external results such as results from the U.S. Department of Education Research and Statistics, or results from a vendor providing comparable data.</i>										
. Student Learning Results											
			Analysis of Results								
erformance Measure	What is your measurement instrument or process? Please see	Current Results	Analysis of Results	Action Taken or Improvement made	Insert Graphs or Tables of Resulting Trends (3-5 data points preferred)						
leasurable goal	data analysis at the end of the page for IB302 and IB431 Do not use grades.	What are your current results?	What did you learn from the results?	What did you improve or what is your next							
-				step?							
'hat is your goal?	(Indicate type of instrument) direct, formative, internal, comparative										
FT all performance areas.	on all The international Business gragram meets the students where they are at: We support earning that reinforce/scherospods with CO2P, ROLUEO's and Parls include Country and Culture Research the responding on domestic business marketing plans to distinctly more complex international import/paport Plans in B335 and MRSS, that include Boglits, ethicial sourcing, transparent supply chain maragement, especially in dealing with contrive with unstable governments, no litigation, construct enforcement or cossumer protection, special marketing and scaling and uncertained and an uncertained and an advection of the state of th	all of these will be used: It also had to be relatable to all majors	activities indicate that students know almost nothing about international busies when they start class and learn how profound international business is it changes lives and transforms economies.	exam directly related to IB315 course and addressing all program competencies. *The Final Exam has been in the process of changing since	International Business Majors - MFT Average Percentile Rank by AY						
ur goal is actore of 50 or above on all M		My activities indicate that students know almost nothing about international business is it changes lives and transforms economies. In 1835 we go through every aspect O busines Nitman Recources Culture e Supply Chain Management, Inte domains Mitanna Recources Culture e Marketing. Management, Inte domains and international context. It is a large about of information of for just one class. Leaplain that IBSS is an overview and our specialized classes cover some of the listed items above	In IB33 we go through every aspect of business Human Resource Culture & Supply Chain Management, Accounting, Finance, Strategy, Topography, Marketing, Management, in the domestic and international contest. It is a large about of information of for just one calls. Lepplain that IB35 is an overview and our specialized classes enyor the class. These used lecture, flipped, binded, 72 with word results. It we learned that everyone is different and cooke cutter formats do not work for this topic.		International Business Majors Performance on MFT by Topic						
Jurgaal is achieve a score of Sor above on all G mensions of Business areas, and to continually mprove our scores.	lobal Peregrine's MFT assessment, Summative external data				International Business Majors - Global Dimensions of Business Topic Score by AV						

AY 12-13 (8) AY 13-14 (5) AY 14-15 (11) AY 15-16 (5) AY 16-17 (5) AY 17-18 (6) AY 18/19 (9)



IB431 International Finance-final Exam	I reviewed the exam data through data reports pulled by the Office of Institutional Effectiveness through CANVAS, which provides a breakdown of each question and the number of students that answered correctly or incorrectly.	incorrect answers for student still centered on CLO's	report show areas for improvement still focused on international financial terminology and various areas		1 International Fina	nce AY1819 Final E	Exam	
		, 4, and 5.	of theory and application. The course will be redeveloped have may combine this with a trade class. So CLO's may also need to be redeveloped. The issues are similar consistent with year by year comparison.	18 14 12 10 R 6 6 6 6 7 0 F1A2018	6 3 F2A2018 • 91%-100%	14 2 51A2019 ≣ 81%-90%	5 2 52A2019	

13

			Standard #4 Indirect Assessments M	easurement	
Performance Indicator			Def	inition	
	Indirect assessments measure student achiev Student Opinion of Teaching Survey Results (Surveys of Instructors Teaching Courses in the	DEA) at the Program Level	xamples of a indirect assessment may include:		
			Analysis of Results		
erformance Measure	What is your measurement instrument or process?	Current Results	Analysis of Results	Action Taken or Improvement made	Insert Graphs or Tables of Resulting Trends (3-5 data points preferred)
leasurable goal IDEA	IDEA Survey Results at the Program Level	What are your current results?	What did you learn from the results?	What did you improve or what is your next step?	
nahysis on student perceptions and student dirtation. Goal is to understand the underlying ifluences on student perceptions and student tiffsaction.	IDEA Survey Results at the Program Level	As stated in the chart, the IB classes summative measurement is the IDEA or student Opinion of Teaching Survey Results (IDEA) at the Program Level. The School of Business overall score is very good.	Results from IDEA are in the upper range. Scores in the upper percentile. If occess are mid range, understanding that this is an opportunity for creaching. This analysis is presented with the understanding that the reader has an in-depth understanding of IDEA and that is 'state-of the-art', meaning that the students answers are analyzed according to each class and how dosely the class activities met the LO2's. If the data collected is analyzed correctly based upon this criteria IDEA is helpful. I constantly check for understanding throughout the class, rather than wait until the end, so that the student learns what is necessary for the course. As state in the chart, the IB classes summative measurement is the IDEA or student Opinion of Teaching Survey Results (IDEA) at the Forgram Lueet. The School of Busicess overall score is verg god as is comparatively the International business Program	Continue to try to improve and monitor, as we update classes. One example is that Bl315 was redeveloped for Fall of 7018 as we were able to obtain a revised textbook. After running the class for a year, we need to modify the class group assignments.	IDEA Summative Questions UGIB UG SoB SoB All IDEA All Excellent Teacher 4.34 4.5 4.48 4.3 Excellent Course 4.27 4.4 4.33 4.2 Average of B and C 4.31 4.45 4.41 4.25 Number of Courses 13 268 359 IDEA Summative Questions AY1718 AY1819 Excellent Teacher 4.23 4.34 Average of B and C 4.14 4.27 Average of B and C 4.19 4.31
djunct Faculty Satification Survey ercentage is based on the number of <i>adjunct</i> <i>cutly nembers</i> yordding survey feedback in ne program. Program Specific AY1819/AY1719 Trend Data	Adjunct Faculty Satification Survey Percentage is based on the number of adjunct faculty members providing survey feedback in the program. *Program/School of Business AY1819 Comparison				Considering all aspects of being an adjunct faculty member at Park University, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with your job?
nalysis on adjunct faculty satisfaction. Goal is u understand the level of faculty satisfaction at ie program level.	Adjunct Faculty Satification Survey Percentage is based on the number of adjunct faculty members providing survey feedback in the program. *Program Specific AY1819/AY1719 Trend Data				Considering all aspects of being an adjunct faculty member at Park University, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with your job?


Logistics

		Standard #4 Meas	surement and Analysis of Student							
Deufermener to Protect		Use this table to supply data for Criterion 4.2.								
Performance Indicator 1. Student Learning Results	Add these to the description of the measurement instrument in coli Direct - Assessing student performance by examining samples of st Formative - An assessment conducted during the student's educati Summative - An assessment conducted at the end of the student's Internal - An assessment instrument that was developed outside th External - An assessment instrument that was developed outside th	umn two: udent work on. education. business unit. ie business unit.			performance, third-party examination, faculty-designed examination, professional performance, licensure examination). For the U.S. Department of Education Research and Statistics, or results from a vendor providing comparable data.					
			Analysis of Results							
Performance Measure	What is your measurement instrument or process?	Current Results	Analysis of Results	Action Taken or Improvement made	Insert Graphs or Tables of Resulting Trends (3-5 data points preferred)					
Measurable goal	Do not use grades.	What are your current results?	What did you learn from the results?	What did you improve or what is your next step?						
What is your goal?	(Indicate type of instrument) direct, formative, internal,									
Our goal is achieve a 50m average percentile rank on all MFT all performace areas. Program Goal 1: Domonstrate a working knowledge of logistics and supply chain management.	Peregrine's MFT assessment, Summative external data. Monitoring the data to determine is a trend. This has affected the available data for this period.	There is a decrease in Logistics Majors indicated in the MTF Average Percentile Rank by AY. Management Subject Score Comparisons that performed above 50 in the area of working knowledge of logistics and supply chain management area as follows: 1) Business Ethics, 2) Business Integration and Strategy, 3) Global Dimensions of Business, 4) Operations and Production and 5) Management.	rethink the assessment process. There is also an indication that it is necessary to review those areas that are not meeting the goals and to keep areas performing above the goals on track.	Plan to review and develop a new assessment instrument to address knowledge of logistics and supply chain management.	Logistics Majors - MFT Average Percentile Rank by AY					
Our goal is achieve a score of 50 or above on all MFT performace areas, and to continually microve our scores. Program Goal 2. Utilize demand management to improve efficiency.	Peregrine's MFT assessment, Summative external data. Monitoring the data to determine is a trend. This has affected the available data for this period.	Management Subject Score Comparisons that performed above 50 in the area of working knowledge of derand management and efficiency are as follows: 1) Global Dimensions of Business, 2) Information Management Systems, 3) Management of Manam Resources, 4) Management, and 5) Quantitative Research Techniques.	rethink the assessment process. There is also		Logistics Majors Performance on MFT by Topic					
Our goal is achieve a a score of 50 or above on all Accounting Subject areas, and to continually improve our scores. Program Goal 3. Examine the impact of logistics and supply chain operations on a firm's profitability.	Peregrine's MFT assessment, Summalive external data. Monitoring the data to determine is a trend. This has affected the available data for this period.	There is a decrease in Logistics Majors for Operations (Production Management Topic Score by AY. The results from AY 17-18 had a score of 67 and in AY 18-19 the score is 60. Management Subject Score Comparisons that performed above 50 in the area of logistics impact and supply chain operations are a follows: 1) business Ethics, 2) Gobal Dimensions of Business, 3) information Management Systems, 4) Management of Human Resources, 5) Management, and 6) Quantitative Research Techniques.	The results indicate that it will be important to rethink the assessment process. There is also an indication that it is necessary to review those areas that are not meeting the goals and to keep areas performing above the goals on track.		Logistics Majors - Operations/Production Management Topic Score by AY					
Our goal is achieve a 50th average percentile rank on all MFT all performace areas. Program Goal 4. Apply stills in data mining in logistics and supply chain topics and sources.	Peregrine's MFT assessment. Summative, External data derived from Peregrine Exam results. Monitoring the data to determine is a trend. This has affected the available data for this period.	performed above 50 in the area of working knowledge of data mining in logistics and supply chain topics are as follows: 1) Business	The results indicate that it will be important to rethink the assessment process. There is also an indication that it is necessary to review those areas that are not meeting the goals and to keep areas performing above the goals on track.	Plan to review and develop a new assessment instrument to address knowledge of logistics and supply chain management.						

	Peregrine's MFT assessment. Summative, External data derived from				
	Peregrine Exam results. Monitoring the data to determine is a trend. This				
Goal 5. Explain the role of transportation in	has affected the available data for this period.	knowledge of the role of transportation are as		and supply chain management.	
the U.S. economy.) those areas that are not meeting the goals and		
			to keep areas performing above the goals on		
			track.		
		Management, and 5) Quantitative Research			
		Techniques.			
Our goal is achieve a 50th average percentile	Peregrine's MFT assessment. Summative, External data derived from	Management Subject Spare Comparisons the	The results indicate that it will be important to	Blan to review and develop a new accessmen	
rank on all MFT all performace areas Program	Peregrine Exam results. Monitoring the data to determine is a trend. Thi		rethink the assessment process. There is also		
	has affected the available data for this period.			and supply chain management.	
the U.S. economy.			those areas that are not meeting the goals and		
the 0.3. economy.			to keep areas performing above the goals on		
		Management Organizational Behavior and, 4)			
		Quantitative Research Techniques.	ludok.		
		quantitative recording recontingates.			
		1			
		1			
		1			
		1			

		Standard #	#4 Indirect Ass	essments Measure	ment				
Performance Indicator				Definition					
	Indirect assessments measure student achiev	ement of program by lookin	ng at attitudes. Exan	nples of a indirect assessme	nt may include:				
			Analysis of Results						
Performance Measure	What is your measurement instrument or process?	Current Results	Analysis of Results	Action Taken or Improvement made	Insert Graphs or Tables o	f Resulting Tr	ends (3-	5 data points p	oreferred)
Measurable goal	P	What are your current	What did you learn	What did you improve or					
		results?	from the results?	what is your next step?					
What is your goal?									
Analysis on student perceptions and student satifcation. Goal is to understand the underlying	IDEA Survey Results at the Program Level Note: Trend data will not be available until additional	The results from the IDEA	The PDL training and the instructional	Continue to monitor the development of courses and	IDEA Summative Questions	UGLG	UG So B	SoB All	IDEA All
influences on student perceptions and student	surveys are administered.	Summative Questions show that the undergraduate logistics		professional development					
satifisaction.		program scored higher than the	the course developers	activities of the faculty	Excellent Teacher	4.54	4.52	4.50	4.3
		School of Business and IDEA at 4.54 for excellent teacher, the	have created a quality learning environment		Excellent Course	4.39	4.39	4.40	4.2
		same at 4.39 for excellent	for the students.		Average of B and C	4.47	4.45	4.45	4.25
		course, and higher at 4.47 for			Number of Courses	26	574	736	
		the average of the two				20	571		
		categories.							
Adjunct Faculty Satification Survey Percentage is based on the number of adjunct faculty members providing survey feedback in the program. *Program Specific AY1819/AY1719 Trend Data	Adjunct Faculty Satification Survey Percentage is based on the number of <i>adjunct faculty</i> <i>members</i> providing survey feedback in the program. *Program/School of Business AY1819 Comparison	The results from the survey indicate that SON of the adjunct faculty teaching logistics courses is either highly satisfied or satisfied with their job. This is below the School of Business. There are 25% of the adjunct faculty members teaching logistics courses indicate that they are neither satisfied or dissatisfied with the job.	The adjuncts teaching logistics courses are expected to use the Canvas courses in the facilitation of their course material. The logistics courses are developed so that there is consistency in the delivery of the course material. This also requires that the same textbook is used for course delivery. There have been a very small number of adjunct faculty members that have expressed dissatisfaction with using the Canvas course, which is required by Park policy.	Continue to monitor the adjunct faculty facilitating courses in the logistics program. Instructors that are struggling with using the Canvas course material will be offered the opportunity for additional training and mentoring.	Considering all aspect University, how sati 50.00% 54.87% 50.00% 25.00% 2 20.00% 25.00% 2 10.00% 4 Highly satisfied	36 28%	25.001 Neither	e you with yo	
Analysis on adjunct faculty satisfaction. Goal is to understand the level of faculty satisfaction at the program level.	Adjunct Faculty Satification Survey Percentage is based on the number of <i>adjunct faculty</i> <i>members</i> providing survey feedback in the program. *Program Specific AY1819/AY1719 Trend Data	The results from the survey indicate that for AY1819, 88.89% of the adjunct faculty teaching at Park University is either highly satisfied or satisfied with their job. In AY1718, 100% of the adjunct faculty teaching at Park University is either highly satisfied or satisfied with their job. There were 11.11% faculty that were neither satisfied or disatisfied with teaching at Park University in AY1819 compared to 2.44%.	course material. The logistics courses are developed so that there is consistency in the delivery of the course	Continue to monitor the adjunct faculty facilitating courses in the logistics program. Instructors that are struggling with using the Canvas course material will be offered the opportunity for additional training and mentoring. There is a canvas connection program used to communicate and receive feedback from adjunct and full- time faculty.	Considering all aspect University, how sati 50.00% 40.00% 40.00% 20.00% 10.00% Highly satisfed	Satisfied	11.114 Neither	e you with yo	

Analysis on adjunct faculty survey data specific	Adjunct Faculty Course Feedback Survey	The results from the survey	The adjuncts teaching	Continue to monitor the adjunct	
to course structure alignment with Core	Percentage is based on the number of courses with	indicate that for AY1819 there are	logistics courses are	faculty facilitating courses in the	
Learning Outcomes (CLO's). Goal is to	survey feedback in the program.	67% of the adjunct faculty	expected to use the	logistics program and their	
understand if courses within the program are appropriately structured to meet the Core Learning Outcomes (CLO's).	*Program Specific AY1819/AY1718 Trend Data	teaching logistics courses either strongly agree or agree that the course structure is in alignment with the Core Learning Outcomes as compared to 84% in AY1718. There are about 33% of the adjunct faculty members teaching logistics courses indicate that they are neither agree or disagree that the course structure is in alignment with the Core Learning Outcomes in AY1819 compared to 4% in AY1718	developed so that the course developers work with the instructional designers during the development process to align course structure with Core Learning	time faculty. The feedback is	60.00%

Management

			ent and Analysis of Student Learn		
Performance Indicator		Uset	e this table to supply data for Criterion 4.2. Definit		
Performance indicator 1. Student Learning Results	licensure examination). Add these to the description of the measure Direct - Assessing student performance by examining samples of stu- Formative – An assessment conducted during the student's education Summative – An assessment conducted at the end of the student's Internal – An assessment instrument that was developed outside the External – An assessment instrument that was developed outside the	surement instrument in column two: student work titon. 's education. he business unit. the business unit.	isessment (evidence) of student learning atta	ttainment that might be used include: capsto	tone performance, third-party examination, faculty-designed examination, professional performance, ults from the U.S. Department of Education Research and Statistics, or results from a vendor providing
 	comparable data.		Analysis of Results	T	1
Performance Measure	What is your measurement instrument or process?	Current Results		Action Taken or Improvement made	Insert Graphs or Tables of Resulting Trends (3-5 data points preferred)
Measurable goal	Do not use grades.	What are your current results?		What did you improve or what is your next	1
What is your goal?	(Indicate type of instrument) direct, formative, internal, comparative	1	'	step?	1
rank on all MFT all performace areas. Program Goal 1 - Management Competency: Demonstrate an understanding of the interrelationships and interdependencies among the marketing, finance, operations, administration, and management functional systems of a business. Our goal is achieve a score of 50 or above on	MFT assessment, Summative external data. External data derived y: from Peregrine Exam results. Internal Data derived from MG 495 Live Team Case Analysis. CLO 2 (Demonstrate an understanding of management terminology, principles, and concepts) and CLO 4 (Demonstrate an understanding of the interrelationships and interdependencies among the marketing, finance, operations, administration, and management functional systems of a business). Data Formative Assessment: Internal Data derived from MG 371 Comprehensive Case Analysis - includes written communication rubric and oral presentation rubric. CLO 1 (Assessment of the use of each of the management process components - planning, organizing, leading, and controlling) and CLO 4 (Evaluation of management practices within a domestic environment versus those within a global environment).	18. Management majors performed at the goal of 50th average percentile rank. Management Subject Score Comparisons that performed at or above ACBSP Average in the area of management competency are as follows: Business Leadership, Legal Environments of Business, Management, and Management Human Resourcs. The results for CLO 2 and CLO 4 for the summative assessment decreased for the Spring terms. There was a decrease in learning for the formative assessment data during the year.	 lo continue the current assessment process for this year. There is also an indication that it is necessary to review those areas that are not meeting the goals and to keep areas performing above the goals on track. The results indicate that it will be important 	s assessment instrument when needed in at order to address management competency. s	Management Majors - MFT Average Percentile Rank by AY
all MFT performace areas, and to continually improve our scores. Program Goal 2 - Problem Solving: Apply critical and creative thinking for effective problem solving, decision- making, and planning on local, regional, and global organizational issues.	Assessment: Summative Assessment: External data derived from Peregrine Exam results. Formative Assessment: Internal Data derived from MG 371 Comprehensive Case Analysis. CLO 1 (Assessment of b. the use of each of the management process components - planning, lorganizing, leading, and controlling). CLO 3 (Critique of the methods selected and applied to motivate followers to achieve organizational goals). CLO 4 (Evaluation of management practices within a domestic environment versus those within a global environment). CLO 5 (Evaluation of outcomes of management practices based upon their inclusion of ethics and social responsibility).	that performed at or above 50 in the area of problem solving are as follows: 1) Business integration and Strategy, 2) Legal in Environments of Business, 3) Management, 14) Management Organizational Behavior, 1 and 5) Quantitative Research Techniques. In the formative assessment there was a consistent increase in the results for all but the CLO 4 data.	f to continue the current assessment process. There is also an indication that it is necessary to review those areas that are not meeting the goals and to keep areas performing above the goals on track.	 assessment instrument when needed in order to address problem solving to competency. 	Management Majors Performance on MFT by Topic
Our goal is achieve a a score of 50 or above on all Management areas, and to continually improve our scores. Program Goal 3 - Globalization : Explain globalization and its impact on business and society.	Assessment: External data derived from Peregrine Exam results Formative Assessment: Internal Data derived from MG 371 Comprehensive Case Analysis. CLO 4 (Evaluation of management practices within a domestic environment versus those within a global environment). CLO 5 (Evaluation of outcomes of management		There is also an indication that it is necessary to review those areas that are not e meeting the goals and to keep areas	 assessment instrument when needed in order to address globalization competency. 	Management Majors - Management Topic Score by AY

our scores. Program Goal 4 - Communication: Demonstrate effective written, oral, and presentation communication	on all Business Integration and Strategic Assessment: External data derived from Peregrine Exam results. Management areas, and to continually improve Formative Assessment: Internal Data derived from MG 371 comrese. Program Goal 4 - Communication: Demonstrate effective rubric and oral presentation rubric.		In the area of Business Leadership, the The results indicate that it will be important following areas scored above the goal of 50 to continue the current assessment process. current assessment instrument to address for communication: 1) Business Integration There is also an indication that it is and Strategies, and (2 Information Management Systems. In the formative assessment, the data was consistently high.					Management Majors - Business Integration and Strategic Management Topic Score by AY						
skills in an organizational setting.				70 60 50 40 30 20 10 0 AY 12-1 (243)	53 3 AY 13-14 (337)	57 1 AY 14-15 (313)	63 AY 15-16 (232)	61 Ay 16-17 (157)	65 AY 17-18 (133)	64 AV 18/19 (116)				
Our goal is achieve a a score of 50 or above on all Business Ethics areas, and to continually improve our scores. Program Goal - 5 Quantitative Analysis: Use quantitative techniques to analyze organizational effectiveness and operational efficiency.	Assessment: External data derived from Peregrine Exam results. Formative Assessment: Internal Data derived from MG 375 Comprehensive Final Examination. CLO 1 (Evaluate an organization's operations by appraising its efficiency and effectiveness. CLO 2 (Employ strategic focus dimentions to discriminate between various	majors on quantitative research techniques and statistics have improved and are above the goal of 50. The formative assessment	The results indicate that it will be important Plan to review and modify the current to continue the current assessment process. assessment instrument when needed in There is also an indication that it is necessary to review those areas that are not competency goals and to keep areas performing above the goals on track.	60 59 58 57 56 55 54 53 64 53 64 53 64 53 64 23 64 24 30	35 AY 13-14 (337)	56 56 AY 14-15 (313)	59	58	58 58 AY 17-13 A	59 ¥ 18/19 (116)				
improve our scores. Program Goal - 5 Teamwork : Demonstrate the ability to interact	Assessment: External data derived from Peregrine Exam results. MG401 Research Report. CLO 2 (Understanding of the leadership concepts involved. CLO 3 bemonstrating an understanding of global and domestic implications. CLO 4 (Apply ethical and socially responsible standards while demonstrating person integrity. Revisions were made to the MG401 rubric and the course was redeveloped.	50 for teamwork areas: 1) Business Leadership, 2) Managing Human Resources and 3) Management. The data from the summative assessment was not available last year. The data from the formative assessment had numbers that were above	The results indicate that it will be important to continue the current assessment process. There is also an indication that it is necessary to review those areas that are not meeting the goals and to keep areas performing above the goals on track.											

		Standard	#4 Indirect Ass	essments Measure	ment					
Performance Indicator				Definition						
	Indirect assessments measure student achievement of program by looking at attitudes. Examples of a indirect assessment may include: Student Opinion of Teaching Survey Results (IDEA) at the Program Level Surveys of Instructors Teaching Courses in the Program									
			Analysis of Results							
formance Measure	What is your measurement instrument or process?	Current Results	Analysis of Results	Action Taken or Improvement made	Insert Graphs or Tables of Resulting Trends (3-5 data points preferred)					
easurable goal	r	What are your current results?	What did you learn from the results?	What did you improve or what is your next step?						
nat is your goal?										
lysis on student perceptions and student fcation. Goal is to understand the underlying sences on student perceptions and student Isaction.	IDEA Survey Results at the Program Level. Note: Trend data will not be available until additional surveys are administered.	The results from the IDEA Summative Questions show that the undergraduate management program scored higher than the School of Business and IDEA at 4.63 for excellent teacher, 4.52 for excellent course, and 4.58 for average of the two categories.	The PDL training and the instructional designers along with the course developers have created a quality learning environment for the students.	Continue to monitor the development of courses and professional development activities of the faculty teaching undergraduate management courses.	IDEA Summative Questions UGMG UG SoB SoB All IDEA All Excellent Teacher 4.63 4.52 4.50 4.3 Excellent Course 4.52 4.39 4.40 4.2 Average of B and C 4.58 4.45 4.45 4.25 Number of Courses 174 574 736 IDEA Summative Questions AY1718 AY1819 Excellent Teacher 4.52 4.63 Excellent Course 4.37 4.52 Average of B and C 4.45 4.58 Number of Courses 111 174					
lysis on adjunct faculty satisfaction. Goal is nderstand the level of faculty satisfaction at program level.	Adjunct Faculty Satification Survey Percentage is based on the number of <i>adjunct faculty</i> <i>members</i> providing survey feedback in the program. *Program/School of Business AY1819 Comparison	The results from the survey indicate that 97.5% of the adjunct faculty teaching management courses is either highly satisfied or satisfied with their job. This is the same for the School of Business. There are 2.5% of the adjunct faculty members teaching management courses indicate that they are neither satisfied or dissatisfied with the job.	The adjuncts teaching management courses are expected to use the Canvas courses in the facilitation of their course material. The management courses are developed so that there is consistency in the delivery of the course material. This also requires that the same textbook is used for course delivery. There have been a very small number of adjunct faculty members that have expressed dissatisfaction with using the Canvas	Continue to monitor the adjunct faculty facilitating courses in the management program. Instructors that are struggling with using the Canvas course material will be offered the opportunity for additional training and mentoring.	Considering all aspects of being an adjunct faculty member at Park University, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with your job?le					

	Adjunct Faculty Satification Survey Percentage is based on the number of <i>adjunct faculty</i> <i>members</i> , providing survey feedback in the program. *Program Specific AY1819/AY1719 Trend Data	The results from the survey indicate that for AY1819, 88.8% of the adjunct faculty teaching courses is either highly satisfied or satisfied with their job. In AY1718, 90.24% of the adjunct faculty teaching courses is either highly satisfied or satisfied with their job. There were 11.11% faculty that were neither satisfied or disatisfied with teaching courses in AY1819 compared to 2.44%.	the facilitation of their course material. The	Continue to monitor the adjunct faculty facilitating courses in the management program. Instructors that are struggling with using the Canvas course material will be offered the opportunity for additional training and mentoring. There is a canvas connection program used to communicate and receive feedback from adjunct and full-time faculty.	are 60.00% 55.56% 55.66% 4634% 4.3.50% 4634% 4.3.50%
to course structure alignment with Core	Adjunct Faculty Course Feedback Survey Percentage is based on the number of <i>courses</i> with survey feedback in the program. *Program/School of Business AY1819 Comparison	The results from the survey indicate that 97.5% of the adjunct faculty teaching management courses either strongly agree or agree that the course structure is in alignment with the Core Learning Outcomes. This is the same for the School of Business. There are about 5% of the adjunct faculty members teaching management courses indicate that they are neither agree or disagree that the course structure is in alignment with the Core Learning Outcomes compared to 4% in the School of Business.	course material. The management courses	Continue to monitor the adjunct faculty facilitating courses in the management program and their feedback. There is a canvas connection program used to communicate and receive feedback from adjunct and full-time faculty. The feedback is available to the course developers to share with the instructional designers for any needed modifications to the course.	k. p
to course structure alignment with Core	Adjunct Faculty Course Feedback Survey Percentage is based on the number of <i>courses</i> with survey feedback in the program. *Program Specific AY1819/AY1718 Trend Data	The results from the survey indicate that for AY1819 there are 93% of the adjunct faculty teaching management courses either strongly agree or agree that the course structure is in alignment with the Core Learning Outcomes as compared to 84% in AY1718. There are about 5% of the adjunct faculty members teaching management courses indicate that they are neither agree or disagree that the course structure is in alignment with the Core Learning Outcomes in AY1819 compared to 8% in AY1718	Learning Outcomes.	Continue to monitor the adjunct faculty facilitating courses in the management program and their feedback. There is a canvas connection program used to communicate and receive feedback from adjunct and full-time faculty. The feedback is available to the course developers to share with the instructional designers for any needed modifications to the course.	t k. so.09%

Marketing

			ement and Analysis of Student Le								
	Use this table to supply data for Criterion 4.2.										
Performance Indicator 1. Student Learning Results	Definition A student learning outcome is one that measures a specific competency attainment. Examples of a direct assessment (evidence) of student learning attainment that might be used include: capstone performance, third-party examination, faculty-designed examination, professional performance, licensure examination). Add these to the description of the measurement instrument in column two: Direct - Assessing student performance by examining samples of student work Formative - An assessment conducted at the end of the student's education. Internal - An assessment conducted at the end of the student's education. External - An assessment instrument that was developed within the business unit. External - An assessment instrument that was developed outside the business unit. Comparative - Compare results between classes, between online and on ground classes, Between professors, between campuses, or compare to external results such as results from the U.S. Department of Education Research and Statistics, or results from a vendor providing comparative data.										
	Comparatie data. Analysis of Results										
Performance Measure	What is your measurement instrument or process?	Current Results	Analysis of Results	Action Taken or Improvement made	Insert Graphs or Tables of Resulting Trends (3-5 data points preferred)						
Measurable goal	Do not use grades.	What are your current results?	What did you learn from the results?	What did you improve or what is your next step?							
What is your goal?	(Indicate type of instrument) direct, formative, internal, comparative			J.C.P.							
Our goal is achieve a 50th average percentile rank on all MFT all performace areas.	Peregrine's MFT assessment, Summative external data	Results show Marketing majors at the 55th percentile.	We are above the 50th percentile, which is good; but, there is room for improvement as there was a drop year over year.	The requirement of a marketing plan in MK351 Principles, we will start that realization earlier than previously.	Marketing Majors - MFT Average Percentile Rank by AY						
Our goal is achieve a score of 50 or above on a MFT performace areas, and to continually improve our scores.	II Peregrine's MFT assessment, Summative external data	Results show the Marketing Majors are above the 50th percentile on every subject but one.	They are not very good at Accounting, Finance, general Economics, Macroeconomics, Mgmt /Production Operations and Quantitative Research Techniques. They tend to excel in Ethics, Microeconomics, Global Dimensions of Business, and Marketing, This is not surprising, since marketing majors tend not to be mathematically inclined.	As we redevelop our course, we need to emphasize the importance of understanding economic trends, and operations management.	Marketing Majors Performance on MFT by Topic Marketing Majors Performance on MFT by Topic ************************************						
Our goal is achieve a a score of 50 or above on all Marketing Subject areas, and to continually improve our scores.	Peregrine's MFT assessment, Summative external data	There is a trendline of continuous improvement, moving from the 45th percentile in 12-13 to the 63rd percentile in 17-18 and 18-19.	The redevelopment of course appears to show progress. e	Recent course assignments are changes are being monitored for continued growth.	Marketing Majors - Marketing Topic Score by AY						



		Standard	#4 Indirect As	sessments Measure	ement						
Performance Indicator	Definition										
	Indirect assessments measure student achievement of program by looking at attitudes. Examples of a indirect assessment may include: Student Opinion of Teaching Survey Results (IDEA) at the Program Level Surveys of Instructors Teaching Courses in the Program										
			Analysis of Results								
Performance Measure			Analysis of Results	Action Taken or Improvement made	Insert Graphs or Tables of Resulting Trends (3-5 data points preferred)						
Measurable goal		What are your current results?	What did you learr from the results?	What did you improve or what is your next step?							
What is your goal?											
Analysis on student perceptions and student satification. Goal is to understand the underlying influences on student perceptions and student satifisaction.	IDEA Survey Results at the Program Level	None of the results are significantly different than IDEA All. UGSoB is slightly higher.	Marketing teacher performance increase over SoB All this year.	Results will be passed on to the Marketing teachers with the suggestion to review their IDEA Center Reports.	IDEA Summative Questions UGMK UG SoB SoB All IDEA All Excellent Teacher 4.62 4.5 4.48 4.3 Excellent Course 4.47 4.4 4.33 4.2 Average of B and C 4.55 4.45 4.41 4.25 Number of Courses 21 268 359 IDEA Summative Questions AY1718 AY1819 Excellent Teacher 4.22 4.62 Average of B and C 4.19 4.47						
Adjunct Faculty Satification Survey Percentage is based on the number of <i>adjunct</i> <i>faculty members</i> providing survey feedback in the program. *Program Specific AY1819/AY1719 Trend Data	Adjunct Faculty Satification Survey Percentage is based on the number of <i>adjunct faculty</i> <i>members</i> providing survey feedback in the program. *Program/School of Business AY1819 Comparison	Results show Marketing faculty to be slightly less satisfied than the faculty in general.	Additional communication methods will be reviewed.	This will be addressed with increased levels of communication.	Number of Courses 32 21 Considering all aspects of being an adjunct faculty member at Park University, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with your job? 90.00% 90.00% 50.00% 90.00%						
					20.00%						



Master of Healthcare Administration

		ndard #4 Measureme Use	this ta
Performance Indicator			
1. Student Learning Results	A student learning outcome is one that measures a specific com examination, professional performance, licensure examination) Direct - Assessing student performance by examining samples of Formative – An assessment conducted during the student's edu Summative – An assessment conducted at the end of the studen Internal – An assessment instrument that was developed within External – An assessment instrument that was developed outsic Comparative – Compare results between classes, between onlin results from a vendor providing comparable data.	Add these to the description of student work cation. nt's education. n the business unit. de the business unit.	ion of
Performance Measure	What is your measurement instrument or process?	Current Results	Ana
Measurable goal	Do not use grades.	What are your current	What
What is your goal?	(Indicate type of instrument) direct, formative, internal, comparative	results?	result
For all MHA students to perform in the 50th percentile or better on all CPC performance areas for four consecutive terms on the Peregrine MFT	Peregrine's CPC Assessment, Summative, External Data.	We met the goal. The data show that in each domain (competency) the MHA students performed better than the 50th percentile. This has been a consistent pattern over the past 4 academic years. There is, however, one area where percentiles are consistently lower than others: "legal environment of healthcare administration."	<i>Admir</i> court
To improve the overall financial management performance, on the Peregrine MFT, to the 60% level for at least 3 consecutive terms during AY18-19.	Peregrine MFT (summative assessment, external assessment) Will specifically be looking at the results for the "financial management" CPC.	We didn't meet this goal, yet - don't see the Summer 2019 term results. During AY18-19, there were only 2 consecutive terms when the overall financial management performance on the Peregrine MFT scored at the 60% level or higher - Spring 1, Spring 2; but the Summer results are not available yet. Therefore, we won't see whether we met this goal until the Summer 2019 term results will become available. We conducted a single factor ANOVA, which compared AY16-17 (M = 57.6; SD = 2.6), AY17-18 (M = 59.4; SD = 4.9), AY18-19 (M = 58.4; SD = 2.7), using α = .10; and founf no statistically significant difference between these academic years (p = .317).	course incons perfor practions with the monit
To improve the overall strategic planning and marketing performance on the Peregrine MF to the 70% level, for at least 2 consecutive terms during AY18-19.		be We met this goal. Fall 1 and Fal	studer consis perfor capsto the Pa lons a other

and Analysis of Student Learning and Performance table to supply data for Criterion 4.2.

Definition

of a direct assessment (evidence) of student learning attainment that might be used include: capstone performance, third-party examination, faculty-designed of the measurement instrument in column two:

Analysis of Results alysis of Results Action Taken or Improvement made Insert Graphs or Tables of Resulting Trends (3-5 data points preferred) at did you learn from the What did you improve or what is your next ults? step? area for improvement is the legal We will see if the Peregrine report provides ironment of healthcare information about specific challenging areas within MHA Performace on CPC AY15/16 - AY1819 ninistration. Beginning Spring 2 this discipline, and emphasise these problematic topics during HA517 Legal and Ethica Issues in L9, the team project assignments 80 -Healthcare Administration course . It appears that e changed during the regular 70 rse maintenance in HA517 Legal the MHA students are comfortably and 60 consistently exceeding the 50th percentile on the l Ethica Issues in Healthcare 50 Peregrine MFT asessment, so moving forward, *ministration* course. Instead of the we'll adjust this goal up to the 60th percentile or rt cases in various areas of the 40 *better*. Need to continue monitoring legal Ithcare legal field, the root cause 30 environment of healthcare administration. lysis and the action plan, the new es are practice-based in the area 10 egal and ethical issues of human ources, medical malpractice, ligence, etc. We will see in the owing AY whether the MFT scores "legal environment of healthcare ninistration" will increase. ■ 15/16 ■ 16/17 ■ 17/18 ■ 18/19 results depend on the specific We will see if the Peregrine report provides Performance on Financial Management ics of financial management. More information about specific challenging areas within the discipline of Healthcare Finance, and ormation is needed from the 80 emphasise these problematic topics during HA516 egrine MFT about the specific Healthcare Finance course. ics where the scores can be 70 roved. As a result of mid-term QA 63 62 62 cks of HA516 Healthcare Finance 62 60 ----58 rses, we discovered some onsistencies in the adjunct faculty formance and assessment 50 tices which have been addressed the adjunct faculty and is being 40 nitored closely. 30 20 10 F1 2016 F2 2016 S1 2017 S2 2017 SU 17 F1 17 F2 17 S1 18 S2 18 SU 18 F1 18 F2 18 We have just totally redeveloped the capstone are not seeing any change in the Performance on Strategic Management and Marketing course HA616 Healthcare Strategic Management dent performance. The scores are and Marketing to make it more closely aligned sistent from year to year. When 90 with other MHA courses in the core curriculum. forming consistenly well in the 82 stone course HA616 when taking So, we will observe next AY19-20 to see if these 80 Paragrine MFT assessment, as scores will increase and stabilize at the higher 73 s as our scores are consistent with level. We are changing the goal to read: "To 71 68 69 63 70 er schools, we are doing well. *improve the overall strategic planning and* marketing performance on the Peregrine MFT, to the 70% level, for at least 3 consecutive terms 60 during AY19-20." 50 40 30 20 10 F1 2016 F2 2016 S1 2017 S2 2017 SU 17 F1 17 F2 17 SL 18 S2 18 SU 18 F1 18 F2 18 S1 19 S2 19

en professors, between programs, between campuses, or compare to external results such as results from the U.S. Department of Education Research and Statistics, or



		Standard	#4 Indirect Assess	sments Measureme	nt					
Performance Indicator	Definition Indirect assessments measure student achievement of program by looking at attitudes. Examples of a indirect assessment may include: Student Opinion of Teaching Survey Results (IDEA) at the Program Level Surveys of Instructors Teaching Courses in the Program									
			Analysis of Results							
Performance Measure	What is your measurement instrument or process?	Current Results Analysis of Results		Action Taken or Improvement made	Insert Graphs or	Tables of Resul	Iting Trends	s (3-5 da	ta points preferred)	
Measurable goal		What are your current results?	What did you learn from the results?	What did you improve or what is your next step?						
What is your goal?										
Analysis on student perceptions and student atifcation. Goal is to understand the underlying influences on student perceptions and student atifisaction.	IDEA Survey Results at the Program Level	The first table reflects the IDEA results only for the Spring 2019 session courses (N = 31), without the Fall session. The second table includes the Fall 2018 session courses (N = 35), too. Comparing the MHA gradaute program IDEA assessment results with the SoB overall, that includes the undergraduate courses, is not the best comparison. Comparing the MHA IDEA results with "IDEA All" scores - these scores look pretty consistent based on the "Excellent Teacher" and "Excellent Course" scores. 4.19 and 4.3 are not statistically different. There is also no increase in the scores - the results are pretty flat.	identify any pressing issues. The top available score goes up to 5. Having the results aroung 4.1 is pretty good. The IDEA results do not appear to be overinflated, which is quite respectful. The reason for these consistently good results is that we perform the mid- term Quality Assurance checks	assessment student response	IDEA Summative Questions Excellent Teacher Excellent Course Average of B and C Number of Courses IDEA Summative Questions Excellent Teacher Excellent Teacher Excellent Course Average of B and C Number of Courses	4.19 4.15 4.17 31 <u>Spring 18</u> 4.15 4.1 4.13	4.50 4.40 4.45 736 Fall 18 4.14 4.13 4.135	IDEA All 4.3 4.2 4.25 5 5 5 5 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7		

Master of Business Administration

	Sta			Ident Learning and Performance							
		U	se this table to supply data for								
Performance Indicator				Definition							
1. Student Learning Results	Student Learning Results A student learning outcome is one that measures a specific competency attainment. Examples of a direct assessment (evidence) of student learning attainment that might be used include: capstone performance, third-party examination, faculty-design professional performance, licensure examination). Add these to the description of the measurement instrument in column two: Direct - Assessing student performance by examining samples of student work Formative – An assessment conducted during the student's education. Summative – An assessment conducted at the end of the student's education. Internal – An assessment instrument that was developed outside the business unit. External – An assessment instrument that was developed outside the business unit. Comparative – Compare results between classes, between online and on ground classes, Between professors, between programs, between campuses, or compare to external results such as results from the U.S. Department of Education Research and S form a vendor providing comparable data.										
Performance Measure	What is your measurement instrument or process?	Current Results	Analysis of Results	Action Taken or Improvement made	Insert Graphs or Tables of Resulting Trends (3-5 data points preferred)						
Measurable goal	Do not use grades.	What are your current results?	What did you learn from the results?	What did you improve or what is your next step?							
What is your goal?	(Indicate type of instrument) direct, formative, internal, comparative										
Increase the MFT Overall Average Percentile Rank	Peregrine MFT - Summative	Students in the MFT are doing relative overall on the Peregrine assessment. The national average is a score of 50 and the MBA program has primarily exceeded the national average.	national average but it is not consistant each term.	Overall, the MBA peregrine scores are unstable. They range from 53-64 for the academic year. The goals to increase the peregrine score overall and to have consistancy in the average every term for 2019-2020AY.	MBA PEREGRINE AVERAGE PERCENTILE RANK 0 0 0						
Increase the overall Peregrine MFT score in Business Finance	Peregrine MFT - Summative	Student scores in finance have declined from 66-56 for the 2018- 2019 AY.	There is a slight decline in the business finances cores and the scores range from 66-56.	We will continue to monitor this each year and expect that we will see a trend increase and closer consistency of scores.	Peregrine MFT Score - Business Finance						

Improve the overall Peregrine MFT score in Qualitative to Quantitative Research Techniques & Statistics	Peregrine MFT - Summative	Students scores in the quantiative research techniques and statistics has been declining for 2018-2019AY.	56-54 for the 2018-2019AY. This is a slight decrease over the previous year.	We will continue to monitor this each year and expect that we will see a trend increase and closer consistency of scores.	Peregrine MFT Score - Quantitative Research Techniques and Statistics
2. Develop business strategic plans.	Group business strategy plan in MBA695 Course.	distrubution on this project and that the	The majority of students are able to develop a business strategic plan at the exemplary and superior level.	The goal is to continue to track this data and monitor it for the 2019/2020 AY.	MBA695 Personal Strategic Plan 2018-2019 AY
3. Apply SWOT analysis in business.	Group project and presentation in MBA576 Course.	are doing exemplary and superior work on their group project and presentation.	able to do a SWOT analysis in business and apply it in their group project and presentation at the exemplary and superior level.	The goal is to continue to track this data and monitor it for the 2019/2020 AY.	MBA5/6 Operations Management 2018-2019 AY 100% 90% 90% 90% 90% 117 21 8 90% 16 3 16 3 16 3 16 17 17 16 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10
 Evaluate financial statements to make informed business decision. 	Final Exam in MBA615 Course.	varied distribution in grades for this quantitative course.	The students vary between exemplary and inadequate. The majority of the students fall in the exemplary to profiecient range.	The goal is to continue to track this data and monitor it for the 2019/2020 AY.	MBA 615 Managerial Finance 2018-2019AY

5. Construct ethical business decisions. Group project in MBA52	are doing exemplary and superior work on	The goal is to continue to track this data and monitor it for the 2019/2020 AY.	100%			siness Law and 18-2019 AY	Ethics	
			100% 90% 80% 60% 60% 40% 20% 10% 0%	67 25 89 53 Exemplary	7 8 25 11 Superior • Fall 1 2017 • Fall 2 20	Proficient 917 #Spring 1 2018	Deficient Spring 2 2018	13 4 6 5 Inadequate

		Standard	#4 Indirect Ass	essments Measure	ement								
Performance Indicator	Definition												
	Indirect assessments measure student achievement of program by looking at attitudes. Examples of a indirect assessment may include:												
	student Opinion of Teaching Survey Results (IDEA) at the Program Level												
	Surveys of Instructors Teaching Courses in the Program												
			Analysis of Results										
Performance Measure	What is your measurement instrument or	Current Results	Analysis of Results	Action Taken or	Insert Graphs or Tables of Resulting Trends (3-5 data points preferred)								
	process?			Improvement made									
Measurable goal		What are your current	What did you learn	What did you improve or	r								
		results?	from the results?	what is your next step?									
What is your goal?													
nalysis on student perceptions and student	IDEA Survey Results at the Program Level	The MBA program faculty are	The MBA program is	The MBA program will continue									
atifcation. Goal is to understand the underlying nfluences on student perceptions and student		ranking in the 4.0 level on a 5.0	2.7% lower on excellent teacher and 3.7% lower	to track this data. The goal is to increase the IDEA average by 1%									
atifisaction.		scale, for the IDEA assessment feedback. The IDEA feedback	on excellent course and an average of 3.2% lower	overall for 2019-2020AY.									
		increased 3% increase in		r	Excellent Course 4.24 4.40 4.2								
		Excellent Teacher, an increase	than the School of Business overall. When		Average of B and C 4.31 4.45 4.25								
		of 2.4% for Excellent Course,	compared to all of IDEA		Number of Courses 131 736								
		and an increase score of 2.6%	users, the MBA program										
		on average. The MBA program has an increase of number of 23	is an average of 1.4%										
		courses for the 2018-2019AY.	higher overall.		IDEA Summative Questions AY1718 AY1819								
		The MBA makes up 17.8% of			Excellent Teacher 4.25 4.38								
		the School of Business.			Excellent Course 4.14 4.24								
					Average of B and C 4.20 4.31								
					Number of Courses 108 131								
			1										

Master of Public Administration

	Standard #			arning and Performance									
De demonstration de la diseter		Use this tak	ble to supply data for Criterion										
Performance Indicator 1. Student Learning Results	A student learning outcome is one that measures a specific compe	tency attainment. Exampl		efinition ence) of student learning attainment that r	night be used include: ca	ostone performanc	e, third-party ex	amination, faculty-					
-	designed examination, professional performance, licensure examination). Add these to the description of the measurement instrument in column two: Direct - Assessing student performance by examining samples of student work Formative – An assessment conducted during the student's education.												
	Summative – An assessment conducted during the student's education. Internal – An assessment instrument that was developed within the business unit.												
	External – An assessment instrument that was developed outside the business unit. Comparative – Compare results between classes, between online and on ground classes, Between professors, between programs, between campuses, or compare to external results such as results from the U.S. Department of Education Research and												
	Statistics, or results from a vendor providing comparable data.	1											
Performance Measure	What is your measurement instrument or process?	Current Results	Analysis of Resu Analysis of Results	lts Action Taken or Improvement made	Insert Graphs or Ta	bles of Resulting T	rends (3-5	data points preferred)					
Measurable goal	Do not use grades.	What are your current results?	What did you learn from the results?	What did you improve or what is your next step?									
What is your goal?	(Indicate type of instrument) direct, formative, internal, comparative	results:	(ESUILS)	51641									
Increase the MFT Overall Average Percentile Rank	Peregrine MFT - Summative			The Peregine MPA field test was not administered this academic year. It was administered last academic year and will be administered next academic year and will administered next administered.	•	re administered fo The last exam on f		during the 18/19 academi May 2018.					
Improve student performance on the MPA Oral Exam	Oral Competency Exam (summative, internal): Percent who pass on initial attempt	Data for the Initial MPA Oral Exam Pass Rate for the past	Some students continue to struggle with fully addressing competencies	Starting last academic year, there was increased emphasis in the capstone PA602 on exam	Percen	t Passing MPA Ora	al Exam on Initia	l Attempt					
		four semesters exhibits variation, with a 78-90% Initial Pass Rate.	and synthesizing concepts and information across courses. My observations suggest particularly	preparation. A major stumbling block for some students, however, continues to be preparation. Some students do not prepare as well as they	Fall 2017	Spring 2018	Fall 2019	Spring 2019					
			difficulty with the core competencies.	should. Assuming that lack of preparation is associated with lack of familiarity with the exam process, a one-page description of the MPA Oral Exam process was created and distributed to all students in the PAGO2 capstone class. Some anectodal evidence suggests this may have helped in some cases, but the overal evidence, based on initial pass of the exam, is unclear to this point.	83	85	90	78					
Improve student performance on the MPA Oral Exam	Oral Competency Exam (summative, internal): Percent who are awarded Pass with Distinctiion .	initial attempt are eligible to	one-in-five students passed their	The Activites noted above regarding the Initial Oral Exam Pass Rate are also expected to yield	Percent Passing MPA Oral Exam with Distinction								
		Pass with Distinction. For the present 2018-19 AY, one-in- three students who passed	MPA Oral Exams with Distinction. The difference between the two semesters, however, was pronounced	improved performance among those who pass the exam.		Fall 2018	Spring 201	9					
		initially, Passed with Distinction in the fall, about one-in-seven of those who passed initially, Passed with Distinction in the Spring.	(33% v. 14%). Data will continue to be reported to develop a base-line and identify any trend over time.			33	14						

		Standard	#4 Indirect Ass	essments Measure	ment							
Performance Indicator	Definition											
	Indirect assessments measure student achie	vement of program by looking at attitudes. Examples of a indirect assessment may include:										
	Student Opinion of Teaching Survey Results (
	surveys of Instructors Teaching Courses in the Program											
	•		Analysis of Results									
erformance Measure	What is your measurement instrument or	Current Results	Analysis of Results	Action Taken or	Insert Graphs or Tab	les of Resulting Trend	ds (3-5 data points preferred)					
	process?			Improvement made								
Measurable goal		What are your current		What did you improve or								
		results?	from the results?	what is your next step?								
What is your goal?												
nalysis of student perceptions of teaching and atifcation with courses. Goals are to have our	IDEA Survey Results.		Students consider graduate teaching and	There were a couple changes that might account for the	IDEA Summative Questions	GRPA PA All	IDEA All					
nstructors and courses rated highly by students		same among graduate and all PA students, and slightly higher than	courses in the	improvement in teaching scores.	Excellent Teacher	4.4 4.42	4.3					
nd to understand the underlying influences on		among all students. The	Hauptmann School's	First, several new adjunct	Excellent Course	4.3 4.36	4.2					
tudent perceptions and student satifisaction.		summative questions score improved slighly, AY1718 to	MPA program and courses essentially on a	instructors were hired to teach in the Hauptmann School's MPA	Average of B and C	4.35 4.39	4.25					
		AY1819. Score for Excellent		program during the past AY and	Number of Courses	9 45	1125					
		Course showed the same pattern.		they are quality teachers.	Number of courses	5 45						
			teaching and courses. Of significance, student	Second, during the past AY the Hauptmann School adopted the								
			evaluations have	School of Business' facutly	IDEA Summative Questions	AY1718 AY1819						
			improved over the past	assessment instrument to assess	Excellent Teacher	4.26 4.4	1					
			year.	our adjuncts and communicate results to themthis may have	Excellent Course	4.14 4.3	1					
				helped guide faculty regarding	Average of B and C	4.2 4.35	1					
				their teaching. Next steps are	Number of Courses	14 28	1					
				to continue to use the present faculty assessment instrument	Number of Codises	14 20	1					

Master of Information Systems and Business Analytics

		Standard	#4 Indirect Assessments	Measurement								
Performance Indicator	Definition											
	Indirect assessments measure student achie	Indirect assessments measure student achievement of program by looking at attitudes. Examples of a indirect assessment may include:										
	Student Opinion of Teaching Survey Results	IDEA) at the Program Level										
	Surveys of Instructors Teaching Courses in th	e Program										
		Analysis of Results										
Performance Measure	What is your measurement instrument or	Current Results	Analysis of Results	Action Taken or Improvement made	Insert Graphs or Tables of Re	sulting Trends	; (3	3-5 data				
	process?				points pr	eferred)	-					
Measurable goal		What are your current	What did you learn from the	What did you improve or what is your next step?	· · ·	-						
-		results?	results?									
What is your goal?												
nalysis on student perceptions and student	IDEA Survey Results at the Program Level		The program consists of CIS, MIS and MBA	To address academic dishonesty, we have incorporated a special		100.4	- 0.41	105				
atifcation. Goal is to understand the underly		The current results on the	courses. We were not able to identify the	section on plagiarism to course policies for every CIS class. To make	IDEA Summative Questions		oB All	IDE/				
nfluences on student perceptions and studer atifisaction.	nt	selected questions are below the School of Business average	responses filled out by ISBA majors only in those shared classes. Therefore, the reported	the level and requirements of our program more transparent to our students from the very beginning, we developed flyers	Excellent Teacher	3.91 4	.50	4.30				
attrisaction.		ranging between 3.48 (Excellent	data covers CIS portion of the courses. There	describing the program and the skills covered which we will	Excellent Course	3.48 4	.40	4.20				
		Course) to 3.91 (Excellent	are several reasons why the average scores	promote to be distributed to all incoming students. I also expect	Average of B and C	3.69 4	.45	4.25				
		Teacher).	are lower than average at the school. 1) The	the educational background of our students to change in the future	Number of Courses		36					
			courses are brand new, and it often happens	as we will be getting more students who have chosen ISBA as their	Number of Courses	0 /	30					
			that the first couple of time the course is	first graduate degree at Park (vs. switching from less technical								
			level of technical components in the CIS	degrees). To help underprepared students, we have 1) contacted tutoring service and we have developed an action plan for how to								
			courses is different from other courses in	serve our students better. 2) We continue the process of								
			graduate business programs because. As we	incorporating supporting tools to make the technical learning curve								
			do not require any technical knowledge for	less steep. 3) We have reached out to all instructors teaching our								
			admission some students may feel that the	introductory course (MIS605) which was redeveloped to better								
			courses were too hard. 3) As an instructor of	prepare ISBA students in order to reiterate the importance of								
				feedback in that course to better indicate and support students								
			with students' expectation of the course	who need additional help. We have also hired additional full-time								
			complexity and academic level. For example,	faculty, and with the expanding pool of part-time faculty, we will be able to redistribute teaching loads more efficiently. We will								
				continue the process of curriculum improvement in particularly								
				focusing on serving students with diverse educational and								
			on developing the courses and other service	professional backgrounds better.								
			assignments, I may have designated less time									
			than I should to some of the students who									
			require additional assistance.									